UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,286	04/24/2006	Robert Van Soest	903186PCTUS	2493
	7590 10/17/2007 & BARON, LLP		EXAMINER TON, TRI T	
6900 JERICHO	TURNPIKE			
SYOSSET, NY	11/91		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/577,286	VAN SOEST, ROBERT			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tri T. Ton	2877			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become a	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This	A <i>pril 2006</i> . is action is non-final.	·			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on 24 April 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objoe e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureation the attached detailed Office action for the priority documents.	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.			
			•			
2) Notice Notice Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/24/06</u> .	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority -

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04/24/06 has been entered. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Oath/Declaration

3. The Oath and Declaration filed on 04/24/2006 is acceptable.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed on 04/24/2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2877

6. Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being teached by Kinoshita et al. (JP Publication No. 08005563). Hereafter, "Kinoshita".

Regarding Claim 1, Kinoshita teaches optical observation means for observing the objects (Figure 1, element 6), a supporting surface for supporting the objects (Figure 1, element 5) and a light source for illuminating the objects (Figure 1, element 9), characterized in that wherein the device comprises a box with reflective walls which is positioned above the supporting surface and in which the light source and the observation means are accommodated (Figure 1, element 1, 2).

Regarding Claim 2, Kinoshita teaches the supporting surface comprising a conveyor for conveying the objects through the box past the observation means (Figure 1, elements 5, A, 4, 3).

Regarding Claim 3, Kinoshita teaches the box is substantially rectangular and has a top wall and four side walls (Figure 1, elements 1, 11).

Regarding Claims 4 and 5, Kinoshita teaches at least the side walls are designed to be reflective (Figure 1, element 2).

Regarding Claim 7, Kinoshita teaches the light source being arranged on the topside of the box (Figure 1, element 9).

Regarding Claim 8, Kinoshita teaches the light source having a uniform radiation plane (Figure 1, element 10).

Page 4

Regarding Claim 9, Kinoshita teaches the light source comprising one or more lamps which being distributed substantially uniformly (Figure 3, element 9).

Regarding Claim 10, Kinoshita teaches the light source comprising one or more diffusor plates which cover the lamps on the inside of the box (Figure 1, element 10).

Regarding Claim 11, Kinoshita teaches wherein the box being substantially rectangular and has a top wall and four side walls (Figure 1, elements 1, 11), wherein the light source is arranged on the top side of the box (Figure 1, element 9), the light source comprising one or more diffusor plates which cover the lamps on the inside of the box so as to form a uniform radiation plane and the top wall of the box (Figure 1, element 10).

Regarding Claim 12, Kinoshita teaches the optical observation means comprising at least one camera (Figure 1, element 6).

Regarding Claim 15, Kinoshita teaches that the reflecting walls of the box are mirrors (Figure 1, element 2), (reflective inner wall face is not different from mirrors).

Application/Control Number: 10/577,286 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita et al. (JP Publication No. 08005563) in view of W. J. Ward et al. (U.S. Patent No. 1,947,142). Hereafter, "Kinoshita", and "Ward".

Regarding Claim 6, Kinoshita teaches all the limitations of claim 1 as stated above except for walls being made from metal. Ward teaches walls being made from metal (Figure 3, element 20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kinoshita by having metal walls in order to implement inspection system more easily.

9. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita et al. (JP Publication No. 08005563) in view of Summers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,321,491). Hereafter, "Kinoshita", and "Summers".

Regarding Claims 13-14, Kinoshita teaches all the limitations of claims 1, and 12 as stated above except for the device having at least two cameras, and a computer which being coupled to the optical observation means. Summers teaches the device having at least two cameras (column 2, lines 40-45), and a computer which being coupled to the optical observation

means (column 2, lines 9-18, column 4, lines 51-54). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kinoshita by having at least two cameras, and a computer in order to "control mechanical egg routing device" efficiently, (as stated by Summers, column 2, lines 16-18).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of Kinoshita et al. (JP Publication No. 08005563), W. J. Ward et al. (U.S. Patent No. 1,947,142), and Summers et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,321,491) teach of various features similar to the claimed invention.

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri T. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9064. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am - 7:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,286

Art Unit: 2877

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 12, 2007

Examiner Tri Ton/SN